arXiv:1402.5789v1 [math.RA] 24 Feb 2014

AN ALTERNATIVE CHARACTERIZATION OF POLYNOMIAL FUNCTIONS OVER FINITE INTEGER RINGS

ASHWIN GUHA AND AMBEDKAR DUKKIPATI

ABSTRACT. Polynomial functions over $\mathbb{Z}_n$, where n is a positive integer, have been characterized in (Kempner, 1921), by considering $\{1, X, X^2, \ldots\}$ as a set of generators and providing suitable bounds for the coefficients of exponents of X. We provide another characterization by giving a generating set for the $\mathbb{Z}_n$-module of polynomial functions. We also use these generators to obtain a canonical representation and extend our result to the multivariate case.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we deal with the following question:

> given a function from a finite commutative ring R to itself does there exist a polynomial which evaluates to the function?

In the case of $\mathbb{R}$, if the function is specified at only a finite number of points it is possible to obtain a polynomial using Lagrange interpolation [10]. For analytic functions one may get an approximation using Taylor's series. This problem has been well-studied over finite fields as well. It was noted by Hermite [7] that every function over finite field of the form $\mathbb{Z}_p$, which is the set of integers modulo prime p, can be represented by a polynomial. This result was extended by Dickson [5] for any finite field F_q, where q is a prime power. Moreover, it was also shown that there exists a unique polynomial of degree less than q that evaluates to the given function. A thorough study of finite fields can be found in [11].

Meanwhile, polynomials over finite rings have been less intensively studied. The property of polynomial representability does not hold over finite commutative rings. In this paper we study the problem of polynomial representability over a special class of rings, namely residue class rings modulo integer n.

The earliest work in this direction was by Kempner [9], where it was proved that the only residue class rings where all functions can be represented by polynomials are $\mathbb{Z}_p$, where p is prime. Kempner [9] also introduced the function (sometimes referred to as Smarandache function) defined as follows.

Definition 1.1. $\mu : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ *where* $\mu(n)$ *is defined as the smallest positive integer such that* $n \mid \mu(n)!$.

In his work Kempner shows that there exists a polynomial of degree less than $\mu(n)$ that evaluates to a function, if the function is indeed polynomially representable. The problem has since then been studied in [2, 8]. Singmaster [13] provides a canonical representation which provides uniqueness for every polynomial function over $\mathbb{Z}_n$. Other notable results are given in [12, 1, 3, 4].

In this paper we provide an alternative characterization of polynomial functions over $\mathbb{Z}_n$ by considering them as a submodule of the $\mathbb{Z}_n$-module of all functions from $\mathbb{Z}_n$ to itself. We do so by providing a generating set for the submodule. The

process is analogous to that of finding a basis for a vector space (which is indeed the case when n is prime). This work is an extension of our previous paper [6] where we studied polynomial functions over residue rings of the form $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}$. We have also given simplified proofs to lemmas mentioned in [6].

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains the notation and necessary basic lemmas. The main theorem and the characterization is given in Section 3. An algorithm based on the result is given in Section 4. The result is extended to functions in several variables in Section 5. Section 6 contains the concluding remarks.

2. BACKGROUND

In this section we provide a suitable notation and mention some preliminary lemmas. Throughout this paper we use n to denote a positive integer of the form $n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \dots p_t^{e_t}$, where $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_t$ are distinct primes. All computations are performed modulo n unless explicitly mentioned otherwise. Polynomials are of the form $c_0 + c_1 X + \dots + c_r X^r$ where X is the indeterminate and coefficients are from $\mathbb{Z}_n$.

A function $f : \mathbb{Z}_n \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n$ is represented as an n-tuple $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ where the i^{th} component $a_i = f(i)$ for $i = 0, \dots, n-1$. Henceforth we use the terms vector and function interchangeably.

Consider a vector $v = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$. $v^{<k>}$ represents the k^{th} cyclic shift to the right, *i.e.*,

$$v^{<k>} = (a_{n-k}, a_{n-k+1}, \dots, a_{n-k-1}),$$
$$v^{<k>}(i) = v(i-k) \text{ for all } k = 0, \dots, n-1.$$

$v^{<0>}$ is, of course, just v. Given a set $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_n$, $\langle v_i \rangle$ denotes the $\mathbb{Z}_n$-module generated by v_i for $i = 1, \dots, r$. $\langle\langle v_i \rangle\rangle$ denotes the $\mathbb{Z}_n$-module generated by v_i for $i = 1, \dots, r$ along with their cyclic shifts, *i.e.*, $\langle v_i^{<j>} \rangle$, for $i = 1, \dots, r,\ j = 0, \dots, n-1$. We say a function/vector is polynomial if there exists some polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}_n[X]$ that evaluates to the given function. We now make a few simple observations. We skip the formal proofs here since the statements are trivial. Interested reader may refer [6].

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose $v \in \mathbb{Z}_n^n$ is a polynomial function. Then $v^{<k>}$ is also a polynomial function for all $k = 0, \dots, n-1$.*

This is easy to see since if $f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_n[X]$ evaluates to v, then $f(X-k)$ which is also a polynomial evaluates to $v^{<k>}$.

Proposition 2.2. *Suppose $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}_n^n$ are polynomial functions. Then $\alpha u + \beta v$ is also a polynomial function for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. In other words, the set of all polynomial functions forms a $\mathbb{Z}_n$-module.*

This is also obvious since if $f(X)$ and $g(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_n[X]$ evaluate to u and v respectively then $\alpha f + \beta g$ is also polynomial that evaluates to $\alpha u + \beta v$.

Proposition 2.3. *Suppose $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}_n^n$ are polynomial functions. Then $u \cdot v$ defined by component-wise multiplication,*

$$(u \cdot v)(x) = u(x) \cdot v(x),$$

is also a polynomial function.

This is simply the assertion that if $f(X)$ and $g(X)$ are polynomials then $f(X)g(X)$ is also a polynomial. This proposition states that the polynomial functions form a $\mathbb{Z}_n$-algebra. Our objective is to provide a set of generators that generate the set of polynomial functions as $\mathbb{Z}_n$-module. In particular we look for a set S such that $\langle\langle S\rangle\rangle$ is the set of polynomial functions.

Definition 2.4. *We call a set $S \subset \mathbb{Z}_n^n$ **scalar-closed** if for all $u, v \in S$, either $u \cdot v = 0$ or $u \cdot v = \alpha w$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, $w \in S$.*

This definition similar to that of closure for any binary operation except that we allow the product to be a scalar multiple of an element in the set. Such a definition enables a scalar-closed set S to have the property that the *algebra* generated by S is same as the *module* generated by S. We now state the first non-trivial yet simple lemma.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{Z}_n^n$ be a scalar-closed set. If the functions corresponding to 1 and X belong to the module generated by S, then every polynomial function belongs to the module generated by S.*

Proof. Let $1, X \in \langle S\rangle$. This means the vectors $(1,1,\ldots,1)$ and $(0,1,2,\ldots,n-1) \in \langle S\rangle$. Suffices to show $1, X, X^2, \ldots, X^{\mu-1}$ where μ as defined in Definition 1.1 since any polynomial function can be represented by a unique polynomial of degree less than μ. Let $X = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i u_i$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_n, u_i \in S$.

$$\begin{aligned} X^2 &= X \cdot X \\ &= (\sum_i a_i u_i) \cdot (\sum_i a_i u_i) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} b_{ij} u_i \cdot u_j \\ &= \sum_i c_i v_i, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}_n, v_i \in S$. Hence $X^2 \in \langle S\rangle$. Similarly one can show for all exponents of X. □

3. Characterization

We now present a description of polynomial functions by giving a generating set of vectors for the $\mathbb{Z}_n$-submodule. Let $n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \ldots p_t^{e_t}$. Consider the functions $u_{p_i,j} : \mathbb{Z}_n \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n$ defined as follows.

$$u_{p_i,j}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{p_i^{e_i}} x^j \pmod n & \text{if } p \mid x, \\ 0 & \text{if } p \nmid x, \end{cases}$$

for all $i = 1,\ldots,t$, $j = 0,\ldots,e_i - 1$. This basically consists of vectors of the form

$$\frac{n}{p_i^{e_i}}(0,\ldots,(p_i)^j,\ldots,(2p_i)^j,\ldots,(n-p_i)^j,0,\ldots,0),$$

where entries for non-multiples of p_i are zero. The cyclic shifts of $u_{p_i,j}$ are defined as

$$u_{p_i,j}^{<k>}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{p_i^{e_i}}(x-k)^j \pmod n & \text{if } x \equiv k \bmod p_i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

which corresponds to $u_{p_i,j}$ shifted by k places to the right for $i = 1,\ldots,t$, $j = 0,\ldots,e_i-1$. Cyclic shifts of the form $u_{p_i,j}^{<k>}$ when k is a multiple of p_i happen to be just scalar multiples of $u_{p_i,j}$. Hence we only need to consider the first p_i shifts. We now show that $u_{p_i,j}$ along with their cyclic shifts form a generating set for the module of polynomial functions.

Lemma 3.1. *$u_{p_i,j}$ are polynomial functions for $i = 1,\ldots,t$, $j = 0,\ldots,e_i-1$.*

Proof. It suffices to provide a polynomial that evaluates to each of the function. For a fixed $i \in \{1,\ldots t\}$ we give a polynomial that evaluates to $u_{p_i,j}$ for all $j = 0,\ldots,e_i-1$. Consider the monomial $X^{\phi(n)}$, where $\phi(n)$ is Euler's totient function. Since $\phi(n) \geq e_i$ for $n > 1$, $x^{\phi(n)} \equiv 0 \pmod{p_i^{e_i}}$ if $p_i \mid x$. If $p_i \nmid x$, p_i and x are relatively prime and $x^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p_i^{e_i}}$ by Euler's theorem. Hence for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ we have

$$x^{\phi(n)} = \begin{cases} 1 \pmod{p_i^{e_i}} & \text{if } p_i \nmid x \\ 0 \pmod{p_i^{e_i}} & \text{if } p_i \mid x. \end{cases}$$

Then the polynomial $1 - X^{\phi(n)} \equiv (n-1)X^{\phi(n)} + 1$ corresponds to function

$$(1 - X^{\phi(p^n)})(x) = \begin{cases} 1 \pmod{p_i^{e_i}} & \text{if } p_i \mid x \\ 0 \pmod{p_i^{e_i}} & \text{if } p_i \nmid x \end{cases}$$

and the polynomial $X^j(1 - X^{\phi(n)})$ corresponds to the function

$$X^j(1 - X^{\phi(p^n)})(x) = \begin{cases} x^j \pmod{p_i^{e_i}} & \text{if } p_i \mid x \\ 0 \pmod{p_i^{e_i}} & \text{if } p_i \nmid x \end{cases}$$

for $j = 0,\ldots,e_i-1$.

Since $\frac{n}{p_i^{e_i}}$ and $p_i^{e_i}$ are relatively prime we have

$$\frac{n}{p_i^{e_i}} X^j(1 - X^{\phi(p^n)})(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{p_i^{e_i}} x^j \pmod n & \text{if } p_i \mid x \\ 0 \pmod n & \text{if } p_i \nmid x \end{cases}$$

which is the vector $u_{p_i,j}$ for $j = 0,\ldots,e_i-1$. □

From Proposition 2.1 it follows that the cyclic shifts $u_{p_i,j}^{<k>}$ are also polynomial functions for $k = 0,\ldots,p_i-1$.

Lemma 3.2. *$\{u_{p_i,j}^{<k>}\}$ is scalar-closed where $i = 1,\ldots,t$, $j = 0,\ldots,e_i-1, k = 0,\ldots,p_i-1$.*

Proof. Case (i) : Consider $u_{p_i,j_1}^{<k_1>}$ and $u_{p_i,j_2}^{<k_2>}$ for a fixed i where $k_1 \neq k_2$ and j_1, j_2 are arbitrary.

$$\begin{aligned}(u_{p_i,j_1}^{<k_1>} \cdot u_{p_i,j_2}^{<k_2>})(x) &= u_{p_i,j_1}^{<k_1>}(x) \cdot u_{p_i,j_2}^{<k_2>}(x) \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

since at least one of the two will be zero.
Case (ii) : For a fixed i consider $u_{p_i,j_1}^{<k>}$ and $u_{p_i,j_2}^{<k>}$.

$$\begin{aligned}(u_{p_i,j_1}^{<k>} \cdot u_{p_i,j_2}^{<k>})(x) &= u_{p_i,j_1}^{<k>}(x) \cdot u_{p_i,j_2}^{<k>}(x) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{n}{p_i^{e_i}}(x-k)^{j_1} \cdot \frac{n}{p_i^{e_i}}(x-k)^{j_2} \pmod n & \text{if } x \equiv k \bmod p_i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{n}{p_i^{e_i}}\frac{n}{p_i^{e_i}}(x-k)^{j_1+j_2} \pmod n & \text{if } x \equiv k \bmod p_i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \frac{n}{p_i^{e_i}} u_{p_i,j_1+j_2}^{<k>}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that if $j_1 + j_2 \geq e_i$ then this corresponds to the zero function.
Case (iii) : Consider distinct p_{i_1} and p_{i_2} with arbitrary j_1, j_2. We need not consider cyclic shifts here since it is essentially same.

$$\begin{aligned}(u_{p_{i_1},j_1} \cdot u_{p_{i_2},j_2})(x) &= u_{p_{i_1},j_1}(x) \cdot u_{p_{i_2},j_2}(x) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{n}{p_{i_1}^{e_{i_1}}} x^{j_1} \cdot \frac{n}{p_{i_2}^{e_{i_2}}} x^{j_2} \pmod n & \text{if } p_{i_1} p_{i_2} \mid x \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

But $p_{i_1}^{e_{i_1}} p_{i_2}^{e_{i_2}} \mid n$, hence it is the zero function. □

Consider the sum of first p_1 shifts of $u_{p_1,0}$, $\sum_{k=0}^{p_1-1} u_{p_1,0}^{<k>}$. This corresponds to the constant function $\frac{n}{p_1^{e_1}}(1,1,\ldots,1)$. One can similarly obtain the functions $\frac{n}{p_i^{e_i}}(1,1,\ldots,1)$ for $i = 2,\ldots,t$. We know that

$$\gcd(\frac{n}{p_1^{e_1}}, \frac{n}{p_2^{e_2}}, \ldots, \frac{n}{p_t^{e_t}}) = 1.$$

From Bezout's lemma there exist $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$1 = a_1 \frac{n}{p_1^{e_1}} + a_2 \frac{n}{p_2^{e_2}} + \ldots + a_t \frac{n}{p_t^{e_t}},$$

which leads to the following.

$$\begin{aligned}(1,1,\ldots,1) &= \sum_{i=1}^{t} a_i \frac{n}{p_i^{e_i}}(1,1,\ldots,1) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{t} a_i \sum_{k=0}^{p_i-1} u_{p_i,0}^{<k>} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{t} \sum_{k=0}^{p_i-1} a_i u_{p_i,0}^{<k>}.\end{aligned}$$

This means the vector corresponding to the constant polynomial 1 can be written as a linear combination of $u_{p_i,0}^{<k>}$ where $i = 1,\ldots,t, k = 0,\ldots,p_i-1$, *i.e.*, $1 \in \langle\langle u_{p_i,0} \rangle\rangle, i = 1,\ldots,t$. We will employ a similar method to show that vector corresponding to X, *i.e.*, $(0,1,2,\ldots,n-1)$ belongs to the module generated by $u_{p_i,j}$.

Lemma 3.3. *X can be written as a linear combination of $u_{p_i,j}$ where $i = 1,\ldots,t$, $j = 0,\ldots,e_i-1$.*

Proof. For a fixed i consider the function $\frac{n}{p_i^{e_i}}X$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{n}{p_i^{e_i}}X &= \frac{n}{p_i^{e_i}}(0,1,2,\ldots,n-1)\\
&= \frac{n}{p_i^{e_i}}(0,0,0,\ldots,p_i,0,\ldots,0,2p_i,\ldots,3p_i,\ldots,0)\\
&\quad + \frac{n}{p_i^{e_i}}(0,1,0,\ldots,0,p_i+1,\ldots,2p_i+1,\ldots,3p_i+1,\ldots,n-p_i+1,\ldots,0)\\
&\quad + \frac{n}{p_i^{e_i}}(0,0,2,\ldots,0,p_i+2,\ldots,2p_i+2,\ldots,3p_i+2,\ldots,n-p_i+2,\ldots,0)\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \vdots\\
&\quad + \frac{n}{p_i^{e_i}}(0,\ldots,0,p_i-1,\ldots,2p_i-1,\ldots,3p_i-1,\ldots,n-1)\\
&= u_{p_i,1}\\
&\quad + u_{p_i,1}^{<1>} + 1u_{p_i,0}^{<1>}\\
&\quad + u_{p_i,1}^{<2>} + 2u_{p_i,0}^{<2>}\\
&\qquad \vdots\\
&\quad + u_{p_i,1}^{<p_i-1>} + (p_i-1)u_{p_i,0}^{<p_i-1>}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since we know that X can be represented as a linear combination of $\frac{n}{p_i^{e_i}}X$, it effectively means X belongs to the module generated by $u_{p_i,0}^{<k>}$. □

Now that we have $1, X \in \langle\langle u_{p_i,j}\rangle\rangle$, Lemma 2.5 directly gives us the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *$\{u_{p_i,j}\}$ along with the shifts generate the module of polynomial function from $\mathbb{Z}_n$ to itself, where $i = 1,\ldots,t, j = 0,\ldots,e_i-1$.*

Written explicitly the generators are as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{p_1,0} &= \frac{n}{p_1^{e_1}}(1,0,\ldots,0,1,\ldots,1,\ldots,0)\\
u_{p_1,1} &= \frac{n}{p_1^{e_1}}(0,0,\ldots,0,p_1,\ldots,2p_1,\ldots,0)\\
&\qquad \vdots\\
u_{p_1,e_1-1} &= \frac{n}{p_1^{e_1}}(0,0,\ldots,0,p_1^{e_1-1},\ldots,(2p_1)^{e_1-1},\ldots,0)\\
&\qquad \vdots\\
u_{p_t,e_t-1} &= \frac{n}{p_t^{e_t}}(0,0,\ldots,0,p_t^{e_t-1},\ldots,(2p_t)^{e_t-1},\ldots,0).
\end{aligned}$$

When n is prime, the generators are cyclic shifts of

$$u = (1,\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{n\text{-}1 \text{ times}}).$$

Note that this is precisely a basis for the vector space of all functions as mentioned in Section 1.

For the case when n is a prime power of the form p^e the generators are precisely those given in [6].

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{p,0} &= (1,\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{p\text{-1 times}},1,\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{p\text{-1 times}},1,\ldots,0)\\
u_{p,1} &= (0,\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{p\text{-1 times}},p,\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{p\text{-1 times}},2p,\ldots,0)\\
u_{p,2} &= (0,\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{p\text{-1 times}},p^2,\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{p\text{-1 times}},(2p)^2,\ldots,0)\\
&\vdots\\
u_{p,e-1} &= (0,\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{\text{p-1 times}},p^{e-1},\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{\text{p-1 times}},(2p)^{e-1},\ldots,0)
\end{aligned}$$

When $n = p_1 p_2 \ldots p_t$ the generators are

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{p_1,0} &= \frac{n}{p_1}(1,\ \underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{p_1-1\text{ times}},1,\ \underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{p_1-1\text{ times}},1,\ldots,0)\\
u_{p_2,0} &= \frac{n}{p_2}(1,\ \underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{p_2-1\text{ times}},1,\ \underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{p_2-1\text{ times}},1,\ldots,0)\\
&\vdots\\
u_{p_t,0} &= \frac{n}{p_t}(1,\ \underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{p_t-1\text{ times}},1,\ \underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{p_t-1\text{ times}},1,\ldots,0)
\end{aligned}$$

Example 1. *Consider* $n = 12 = 2^2 \cdot 3$. *The generators are*

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{2,0} &= (3,0,3,0,3,0,3,0,3,0,3,0)\\
u_{2,1} &= (0,0,6,0,0,0,6,0,0,0,6,0)\\
u_{3,0} &= (4,0,0,4,0,0,4,0,0,4,0,0)
\end{aligned}$$

and their cyclic shifts.

4. Algorithm

We now discuss the computational aspects of the result. Let N be the number of generators. For each prime p_i there are e_i generators and p_i cyclic shifts for each of them, which gives

$$N = p_1 e_1 + p_2 e_2 + \ldots + p_t e_t. \tag{1}$$

Our primary motivation to characterize the polynomial functions was to resolve the question whether a given arbitrary function is polynomial or not. With a generating set in hand the problem boils down to finding whether the function is a linear combination of the generators.

Given $f \in \mathbb{Z}_n^n$ we need to check if there exist scalars $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_N$ such that

$$f = a_0 u_0 + a_1 u_1 + \ldots + a_N u_N.$$

This amounts to checking if the following system of linear equations has a solution.

$$A \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \end{pmatrix} = f \tag{2}$$

where A is a $n \times N$ matrix whose columns are $u_{p_i,j}^{<k>}$, for $i = 1, \ldots, t$, $j = 0, \ldots, e_i - 1$, $k = 0, \ldots, p_i - 1$ and f is a column vector of length n.

An important feature of the matrix A is that most of its entries are zero. It can be easily seen that $u_{p_i,j}$ contains $\frac{n}{p_i^{j+1}}$ non-zero entries. Using this one may find the number of non-zero entries in A.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Number of non-zero entries } &= \sum_{i=1}^{t} \sum_{j=0}^{e_i-1} p_i \frac{n}{p_i^{j+1}} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{t} \sum_{j=0}^{e_i-1} \frac{n}{p_i^{j}} \\ &< \sum_{i=1}^{t} n(1 + \frac{1}{p_i} + \frac{1}{p_i^2} + \ldots) \\ &< \sum_{i=1}^{t} n \frac{p_i}{p_i - 1} \end{aligned}$$

Thus the number of non-zero entries is $O(nt)$ compared to the the total entries $nN = n(p_1 e_1 + \ldots + p_t e_t)$.

We now proceed to give a broad description of the algorithm that makes use of Theorem 3.4. We need to check if the equation (2) has a solution in $\mathbb{Z}_n$. Since N is much smaller than n, we have an over-defined system of equations. It is much more convenient to perform computations on the $(N \times N)$ sub-matrix B of A, which consists of only the first N rows of A. We now solve the simpler linear system

$$B \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_0 \\ b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_{N-1} \end{pmatrix} \tag{3}$$

in order to check for existence of solutions. Splitting the matrix A to perform computation on B ensures that this step takes $O(N^3)$ rather than $O(n^3)$.

One must bear in mind that all computations are performed modulo n where division by multiples of p_i is not defined for $i = 1, \ldots, t$. This means that whenever we encounter a case where division by p_i occurs, it immediately implies that no solution exists in $\mathbb{Z}_n$, therefore the function is not polynomial.

Suppose a solution does exist in $\mathbb{Z}_n$, say, $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_N)$ we then check if

$$a_1 u_1 + \ldots + a_N u_N = f. \tag{4}$$

If this holds then f is a polynomial function. The step to check the above equality requires only $O(n)$. In total the algorithm has a time complexity of $O(n + N^3)$. Taking into account that the number of polynomial functions is much smaller compared to total number of functions over $\mathbb{Z}_n$, *i.e.*, an arbitrary function is much more

likely to be non-polynomial than otherwise, therefore algorithm terminates after first step, and that B is sparse, since non-zero entries are uniformly distributed over A, in practice the running time is lower.

Algorithm 1 Determination of Polynomial Functions

Input: $f = (b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1})$.

▷ Step 1

if $B \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_0 \\ b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_{N-1} \end{pmatrix}$ has no solution **then** ▷ B as in (3)

Output: f is not polynomial.
exit

Let $(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_N)$ be the solution.

▷ Step 2

if $f = \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j u_j$ **then**
Output: f is polynomial.
else
Output: f is not polynomial.

The next question that follows is determining the polynomial that evaluates to the given function. This is possible since we have the polynomials that correspond to the generators from Lemma 3.1 and the algorithm gives a suitable linear combination of generators. The polynomial thus obtained has a degree of $\phi(n)$. It is possible to the get a lower degree polynomial by simply dividing it by $X(X-1)\ldots(X-\mu+1)$. The remainder is of degree less than μ and evaluates to the same function. By similarly choosing suitable coefficients it is possible to arrive at the canonical representation mentioned in [13].

5. Polynomials in several variables

The set of generators described so far can be extended to multivariate functions in a natural way. Consider the set of functions in m variables over $\mathbb{Z}_n$ of the form $f : (\mathbb{Z}_n)^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n$. We wish to give a similar set of generators for the set of polynomial functions from $(\mathbb{Z}_n)^m$ to $\mathbb{Z}_n$.

Proposition 5.1. *The module of polynomial functions in m-variables from $(\mathbb{Z}_n)^m$ to $\mathbb{Z}_n$ is generated by tensor product of vectors given for $\mathbb{Z}_n$ taken m at a time and their shifts,* i.e., *generators are given by $u_{p_{i_1},j_1} \otimes u_{p_{i_2},j_2} \otimes \ldots \otimes u_{p_{i_m},j_m}$, where*

$$(u_{p_{i_1},j_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes u_{p_{i_m},j_m})(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = u_{p_{i_1},j_1}(x_1) \ldots u_{p_{i_m},j_m}(x_m).$$

It must be noted that if $p_{i_1} \neq p_{i_2}$ (or any other pair), then the tensor product is simply zero. Effectively the generators are of the form $u_{p_i,j_1} \otimes u_{p_i,j_2} \otimes \ldots \otimes u_{p_i,j_m}$, where $i = 1, \ldots, t$. For a fixed p_i the number of generators, ignoring the shifts, is the number of solutions to the inequality $j_1 + j_2 + \ldots + j_m < e_i$ which is $\binom{m+e_i-1}{m}$. For

each of these tensors there are p_i shifts along each of the m dimensions. Hence the number of generators corresponding to each p_i is $p_i^m\binom{m+e_i-1}{m}$. Summing up over all primes we get the total number of generators to be $p_1^m\binom{m+e_1-1}{m}+\ldots+p_t^m\binom{m+e_t-1}{m}$. We may observe that when we substitute $m=1$, *i.e.*, the univariate case we get precisely $p_1e_1+\ldots+p_te_t$ from (1) mentioned in Section 4.

Example 2. *Consider the case of functions in two variables over* $\mathbb{Z}_6$ *. The generators are*

	2	0	0	2	0	0
2	4	0	0	4	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	4	0	0	4	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0

	3	0	3	0	3	0
3	3	0	3	0	3	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	3	0	3	0	3	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	3	0	3	0	3	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Note that $(2,0,0,2,0,0)\otimes(3,0,3,0,3,0)$ *is a zero-matrix.*

6. Summary

In this paper we have provided a description of polynomial functions over $\mathbb{Z}_n$ by extending the results given in [6]. We have given a set of generators for the module of polynomial functions over $\mathbb{Z}_n$. We also provide an algorithm to determine whether a given function is polynomial using the result. It may be observed that one can use $\{1,X,X^2,\ldots,X^\mu\}$ as the generators. However, the generating set given in this paper is a generalization of a basis for vector space and results in a sparse matrix. We have also mentioned a method to arrive at a canonical representation of polynomial function given in [13]. The characterization is also extended for polynomial functions in several variables.

References

[1] J.V. Brawley and G.L. Mullen. Functions and polynomials over Galois rings. *Journal of Number Theory*, 41(2):156–166, 1992.

[2] L Carlitz. Functions and polynomials ($mod\, p^n$). *Acta arithmetica*, 9(1):67–78, 1964.

[3] Zhibo Chen. On polynomial functions from $\mathbb{Z}_n$ to $\mathbb{Z}_m$. *Discrete Mathematics*, 137(1):137–145, 1995.

[4] Zhibo Chen. On polynomial functions from $\mathbb{Z}_{n_1}\times\ldots\times\mathbb{Z}_{n_r}$ to $\mathbb{Z}_m$. *Discrete Mathematics*, 162(1):67–76, 1996.

[5] L.E. Dickson. The analytic representation of substitutions on a power of a prime number of letters with a discussion of the linear group. *The Annals of Mathematics*, 11(1/6):65–120, 1896.

[6] Ashwin Guha and Ambedkar Dukkipati. An algorithmic characterization of polynomial functions over $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$. *Algorithmica*, 10.1007/s00453-013-9799-7.

[7] C. Hermite. Sur les fonctions de sept lettres. *Comptes rendus de l'Acadmie des Sciences Paris*, 57:750–757, 1863.

[8] G. Keller and FR Olson. Counting polynomial functions (mod p^n). *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 35(4):835–838, 1968.
[9] Aubrey J Kempner. Polynomials and their residue systems. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 22(2):240–266, 1921.
[10] IL Lagrange. Reflexions sur la resolution algebrique des equations.
[11] R. Lidl, H. Niederreiter, and P.M. Cohn. *Finite fields*, volume 20. Cambridge Univ Pr, 1997.
[12] G. Mullen and H. Stevens. Polynomial functions (mod m). *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, 44(3):237–241, 1984.
[13] David Singmaster. On polynomial functions (mod m). *Journal of Number Theory*, 6(5):345–352, 1974.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND AUTOMATION, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, BANGALORE 560012, INDIA.
E-mail address: guha_ashwin@csa.iisc.ernet.in

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND AUTOMATION, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, BANGALORE 560012, INDIA.
E-mail address: ad@csa.iisc.ernet.in